

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/646,802	10/17/2000	Petteri Putkiranta	042933/321132	1591	
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 12/12/200 IRD LLP	77	EXAM	INER	
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			HO, HUY C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
0			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			T	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/646,802	PUTKIRANTA, PETTERI	
O	ffice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Huy C. Ho	2617	
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication appoints	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTE WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) I - If NO period f - Failure to rep Any reply rec	INED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE itime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we by within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠ This a 3)⊡ Since	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Sec</u> action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This this application is in condition for allowan d in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of	Claims		J	
4a) O 5) ☐ Claim 6) ☑ Claim 7) ☐ Claim	n(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application. If the above claim(s) is/are withdraw In(s) is/are allowed. In(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. In(s) is/are objected to. In(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Pa	pers			
10)⊠ The d Applic Repla	pecification is objected to by the Examiner rawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 2000</u> is/a cant may not request that any objection to the coment drawing sheet(s) including the correction or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to be a continuous in the Examination is objected to be a continuous in the Examination is objected to be a continuous in the Examination is objected to be a continuous in the Examination is objected to be a continuous in the Examination is objected to be a continuous in	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
2) Notice of Dra 3) Information I	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) /Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

09/646,802 Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The amended claims contain subject matter "separately or distinctly from obligatory location updates performed when roaming in the cells of a cellular radio network", which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been

09/646,802 Art Unit: 2617

obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1-4 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Granberg et al. (6101387) and further in view of Jean-Gabriel (FR 2711033).

Consider claim 1, (Currently amended) Granberg discloses a communications system having base stations for providing mobile stations with communications links and at least one localized service area (see the abstract, col 1 lines 25-35, where Granberg discusses a mobile communication system comprising base station, mobiles stations and plurality of location areas for providing services to mobile subscribers), comprising:

Granberg discloses a service server which is arranged to maintain information concerning the location of mobile stations in localized service areas and to generate requests for changing the service selection offered to mobile stations in response to receiving, from the mobile stations, mobile station generated messages describing the location of the mobile stations in relation to localized service areas (see figure 2; figure 4 numbers 22; col 6 lines 5-45, col 7 lines 1-15); and

Granberg discloses means for changing the service selection offered to a mobile station by the communications system in response to an indication of the arrival of the mobile station in said localized service area, which indication is a message generated by said mobile station (see the

09/646,802 Art Unit: 2617

abstract, col 2 lines 15-30, col 3 lines 40-50, col 6 lines 5-18), separately or distinctly from obligatory location updates performed when roaming in the cells of a cellular radio network (see col 6 lines 5-10).

Granberg does not show <u>separately or distinctly from obligatory location updates</u>. However, it is noticeable that Granberg discusses when a mobile subscriber enters into a new location service area, it sends a registration message to MSC/VLR associated with this new service area (see col 6 lines 5-10). This registration message is an indication of the mobile station's arrival to new area. Jean-Gabriel discloses <u>separately or distinctly from obligatory location updates</u> (see the abstract, pages 4 and 5, the high lighted paragraphs, where Jean-Gabriel discusses communication system comprising base stations and mobile stations, where the system supplying a mobile station with personalized service dependent on geographical location information of the said mobile station. Jean-Gabriel discusses the first message of indication transmitted by the Mobile station indicates localization location of the mobile station approaching in a geographical cell, the second message includes identification information of the mobile station and obtained by Visitor Location Registration VLR, where the location of the mobile is registered).

Since both Granberg and Jean-Gabriel teach location dependent services in cellular system, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Granberg teachings, and have <u>separately or distinctly from obligatory location updates</u>, taught by Jean-Gabriel, to improve the system discussed by Jean-Gabriel (see whole page 1, page 2, see the high lighted paragraphs).

Consider claim 7, (Currently amended) Granberg discloses a method for changing the service selection offered to a mobile station in a communications system that has base stations for providing mobile stations with communications links (the abstract, col 2 line 14-30), comprising the steps of:

receiving from the mobile station a message when roaming in the cells of a cellular radio network (see col 6 lines 5-10), and indicating that the mobile station has detected that it is in the localized service area (col 6 lines 5-18);

09/646,802 Art Unit: 2617

generating information about the arrival of a mobile station in a localized service area (col 6 lines 5-30); and

changing the service selection offered to said mobile station by the communications system (col 7 lines 15-35).

Granberg does not show <u>separately or distinctly from obligatory location updates</u>. However, it is noticeable that Granberg discusses when a mobile subscriber enters into a new location service area, it sends a registration message to MSC/VLR associated with this new service area (see col 6 lines 5-10). This registration message is an indication of the mobile station's arrival to new area. Jean-Gabriel discloses <u>separately or distinctly from obligatory location updates</u> (see the abstract, pages 4 and 5, the high lighted paragraphs, where Jean-Gabriel discusses communication system comprising base stations and mobile stations, where the system supplying a mobile station with personalized service dependent on geographical location information of the said mobile station. Jean-Gabriel discusses the first message of indication transmitted by the Mobile station indicates localization location of the mobile station approaching in a geographical cell, the second message includes identification information of the mobile station and obtained by Visitor Location Registration VLR, where the location of the mobile is registered).

Since both Granberg and Jean-Gabriel teach location dependent services in cellular system, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Granberg teachings, and have <u>separately or distinctly from obligatory location updates</u>, taught by Jean-Gabriel, to improve the system discussed by Jean-Gabriel (see whole page 1, page 2, see the high lighted paragraphs).

Consider claim 2, (Previously Presented) Granberg, as modified by Jean-Gabriel, further discloses the communications system of claim 1, comprising:

an application server to provide mobile stations with different services in response to a request generated by the service server for changing the service selection (see figure 4, col 7 lines 1-15).

Consider claim 3, (Previously Presented) The communications system of claim 2, Granberg, as

09/646,802

Art Unit: 2617

modified by Jean-Gabriel, further discloses wherein said service server is the same as said application server (see figure 4, col 7 lines 1-15).

Consider claim 4, (Previously Presented) The communications system of claim 1, Granberg, as modified by Jean-Gabriel, further discloses wherein it is adapted so as to change a localized service selection offered to a mobile station in response to a notification sent by the mobile station on its arrival in a localized service area (see the abstract, col 2 lines 15-30, col 3 lines 40-50, col 6 lines 5-18).

Consider claim 8, (Previously Presented) The method of claim 7, Granberg, as modified by Jean-Gabriel, further discloses wherein in response to the information about the arrival of a mobile station in a localized service area a predetermined additional service is offered to the mobile station (see figure 3, col 6 lines 20-45, col 7 lines 25-35, 60-65).

Consider claim 9, (Previously Presented) The method of claim 8, Granberg, as modified by Jean-Gabriel, further discloses wherein said additional service involves the sending of announcements to the mobile station (see figure 3, col 6 lines 20-45, col 7 lines 25-35, 60-65).

Consider claim 10, (Previously Presented) The method of claim 7, Granberg, as modified by Jean-Gabriel, further discloses wherein in response to the information about the arrival of a mobile station in a localized service area the quantity of services offered to the mobile station by the communications system is reduced (see col 2 lines 45-67, col 3 lines 1-2).

Consider claim 11, (Currently amended) The method of claim 7, Granberg, as modified by Jean-Gabriel, further discloses the steps of:

communicating a message indicating the arrival of a mobile station in a localized service area to a service server (col 6 lines 20-67, col 7 lines 1-15, 35-67);

checking what services should be offered to the mobile station in that localized service area (col 6 lines 20-67, col 7 lines 1-15, 35-67);

communicating a request for the services to be offered to an application server providing the services (col 6 lines 20-67, col 7 lines 1-15, 35-67); and

09/646,802 Art Unit: 2617

providing, by the application server, a service to the mobile station (col 6 lines 20-67, col 7 lines 1-15, 35-67).

Consider claim 12, (Currently amended) The method of claim 11, Granberg, as modified by Jean-Gabriel, further discloses:

the step of communicating a request to an application server comprises the step of (see figures 3 and 4, col 6 lines 20-25):

communicating the request for the services to be offered to at least two application servers providing services (col 6 lines 20-67, col 7 lines 1-15, 35-67), and

the step of providing, by the application server, a service to the mobile station comprises the step of (see figures 3 and 4, col 6 lines 20-25):

providing, by each application server to which the request for the services to be offered was made, a service to the mobile station (col 6 lines 20-67, col 7 lines 1-15, 35-67).

6. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Granberg et al. (6,101,387), in view of Jean-Gabriel (FR 2711033) and further in view of Buss et al. (5,539,395).

Consider claim 5, (Currently amended) A cellular mobile station having a control block, comprising:

Granberg discloses recognizing a localized service area on which localized services are controlled by a services server (col 3 lines 18-30, 40-50, col 6 lines 5-17); wherein the mobile station is adapted so as to send a notification of its arrival in the localized service area to the services server in response to the recognition of the localized service area, said notification being intended as an impulse for changing the service selection offered to the mobile station (see the abstract, col 2 lines 15-30, col 3 lines 20-50, col 6 lines 5-45, col 7 lines 1-15),

Granberg does not show <u>separately or distinctly from obligatory location updates</u>. However, it is noticeable that Granberg discusses when a mobile subscriber enters into a new location service area, it sends a registration message to MSC/VLR associated with this new service area (see col 6 lines 5-

09/646,802 Art Unit: 2617

10). This registration message is an indication of the mobile station's arrival to new area. Jean-Gabriel discloses <u>separately or distinctly from obligatory location updates</u> (see the abstract, pages 4 and 5, the high lighted paragraphs, where Jean-Gabriel discusses communication system comprising base stations and mobile stations, where the system supplying a mobile station with personalized service dependent on geographical location information of the said mobile station. Jean-Gabriel discusses the first message of indication transmitted by the Mobile station indicates localization location of the mobile station approaching in a geographical cell, the second message includes identification information of the mobile station and obtained by Visitor Location Registration VLR, where the location of the mobile is registered).

Since both Granberg and Jean-Gabriel teach location dependent services in cellular system, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Granberg teachings, and have <u>separately or distinctly from obligatory location updates</u>, taught by Jean-Gabriel, to improve the system discussed by Jean-Gabriel (see whole page 1, page 2, see the high lighted paragraphs).

Granberg, as modified by Jean-Gabriel, does not show memory means adapted so as to store the information in a mobile station. Buss teaches a portable device comprising a memory for storing and retrieval of data (see the abstract, figure 3 number 80).

Since both Granberg and Buss teach location dependent services in cellular system, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Granberg teachings, and have memory means adapted so as to store the information in a mobile station, taught by Buss, to improve the system discussed by Buss (see col 1 lines 15-50).

Consider claim 6, (Previously Presented) The mobile station of claim 5, Granberg, as modified by Buss, discloses wherein said memory means is located in a removable memory unit (col 3 lines 1-20, col 5 lines 15-30).

09/646,802 Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy C. Ho whose telephone number is (571) 270-1108. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DUC M. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600